

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,495	02/11/2002	William A. Stevens, JR.	042390.P9143	6012	
7	7590 03/04/2005		EXAM	INER	
Lawrence E. Lycke BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			CHEN,	CHEN, TSE W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2116		
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/073,495	STEVENS, ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tse Chen	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 December 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. <ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10122004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/073,495 Page 2

Art Unit: 2116

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed of record in the file: Amendment dated December 23, 2004.

2. Claims 1-41 are presented for examination.

### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on October 12, 2004, was filed before the mailing date of the final Office Action with an accompanied statement. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: the colon at the end of "a plurality of hardware components" should be a semicolon; and the semicolon at the end of "the BIOS further including" should be a colon. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens, U.S. Patent 6633976, in view of Patel, U.S. Patent 5999989.
- 7. In re claim 1, Stevens discloses a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2116

Starting execution of a basic input output system (BIOS) [col.2, ll.14-29], the BIOS
having a plurality of firmware modules [BIOS modules, dispatch manager] [fig.2; col.2,
l.48 - col.3, l.15].

- Scheduling modules of the plurality of firmware modules for execution [col.3, ll.1-15; sequentially schedules modules for execution].
- Dispatching the scheduled modules for execution [col.3, ll.1-31].
- 8. Stevens did not disclose explicitly the determining of resources required by the plurality of modules.
- 9. Patel discloses a method comprising:
  - Determining resources required by a plurality of firmware modules [devices] to operate [col.3, Il.58-61; col.4, Il.31-44; col.5, Il.20-32, Il.58-60; memory devices can contain firmware; IPL devices as firmwares].
  - Scheduling modules of the plurality of firmware modules for execution in consideration
    of the required resource that are determined [col.5, 11.20-48; IPL firmwares are scheduled
    ahead of other firmwares due to specific resources].
- 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Stevens and Patel before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system of Stevens to include the teachings of Patel, in order to enhance the robustness of the BIOS [Patel: col.1, ll.51-56]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to enhance the robustness of the BIOS.
- 11. As to claim 2, Patel discloses initializing a memory [register set] of the platform after the scheduled modules are dispatched [col.6, 1.10 col.7, 1.42].

Art Unit: 2116

12. As to claim 3, Stevens discloses calling a module [requested BIOS module] of the plurality of firmware modules for execution during execution of another module [dispatch manager] of the plurality of firmware modules [col.5, ll.37-52].

- 13. As to claim 4, Patel discloses the method wherein calling a module of the plurality of firmware modules further comprises:
  - Saving a return address [col.7, 11.43-47; far return to POST].
  - Determining a physical address [pointer in location] of the module [col.7, ll.1-29].
  - Executing an instruction stored at the physical address of the module [col.7, 11.11-29].
  - Executing an instruction [performs device specific write-protection] stored at the saved return address when the module execution is complete [col.7, 11.43-47].
- 14. As to claim 5, Patel discloses the method wherein determining the physical address of the module comprises looking up the physical address [pointer] in an import table [part of ROM header data structure] of the other module [col.7, 1.1 col.8, 1.46].
- 15. As to claim 6, Patel discloses the method wherein a module of the plurality of firmware modules comprises [fig.1a]:
  - A globally unique identifier (GUID) [72 bit serial id] to identify the module [col.7, ll.48-59].
  - A resource list [part of ROM header data structure] to store information identifying resources needed by the module to operate [col.7, ll.1-10].
  - An import table [part of ROM header data structure] to store physical addresses [pointers 166, 182] of a set of modules [init, boot code] of the plurality of firmware modules that the module may call during execution [col.7, ll.1-42; col.8, ll.6-46].

Art Unit: 2116

A service that when executed performs a predetermined function [col.3, l.58 – col.4,
 l.10].

- An export table [interrupt table] to store a value [register argument] corresponding to a physical address of the service [col.7, ll.11-29; col.8, ll.6-46].
- An interface [via handle id] operatively coupled to the GUID, resource list, the service, the import table, and the export table, wherein the interface is addressable by a calling agent [POST] via the GUID to provide the calling agent access to the resource list, the service, the import table, and the export table [col.3, l.58- col.4, l.10].
- 16. As to claim 7, Patel discloses the method wherein the value [DI] stored by the export table is an offset from a start address of the module [col.8, 11.26-33].
- 17. As to claim 8. Patel discloses the method wherein the import table [allocation map] stores GUIDs [handle ids] of the set of modules of the plurality of firmware modules [col.3, l.58 col.4, l.10; relative to POST module].
- 18. As to claim 9, Patel discloses the method comprising:
  - Starting execution of a first module [POST] of the plurality of firmware modules [col.4,
     1.46 col.5, 1.57].
  - Determining whether the first module is chainable with another module [initialization of other devices] of the plurality of firmware modules [col.7, ll.11-47; col.14, ll.13-26;
     POST makes call and returns as in a chain].
  - Determining whether a hardware component [actual device] associated with the first module is present in the platform if the first module is chainable [col.6, ll.10-47].

Art Unit: 2116

- Completing execution of the first module if the hardware component associated with the first module is present in the platform [col.6, ll.10-47; device is activated in step 220].
- Starting execution of a second module of the plurality of firmware modules without completing execution of the first module if the hardware component associated with the first module is not present in the platform [fig.2; col.6, ll.10-47; if device is not present, steps 206-208 are repeated with first device taken out of allocation map and second device being executed instead].
- 19. As to claim 10, Patel discloses the method wherein the first module includes a data structure [allocation map] to store a physical address of the second module [col.3, 1.58 col.4, 1.10].
- 20. As to claim 11, Patel discloses each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 9. Furthermore, Patel discloses multiple firmware modules that can be chained by one with ordinary skill in the art [fig.5c].
- 21. As to claim 12, Stevens discloses the method wherein completing execution of the second module [task associated with module] further comprises returning to a calling agent [dispatch manager] that called the first module [col.9, ll.16-36; dispatch manager sequentially executes list of tasks with each task returning to the dispatch manager before executing next task].
- 22. As to claim 13, Stevens discloses the method comprising:
  - Executing a call made by a calling agent [dispatch manager] to a first module of the plurality of firmware modules, wherein the call [task] is one of a set of calls, each call of the set of calls being associated with a module of a set of modules of the plurality of

Art Unit: 2116

firmware modules, the associations being dependent on a configuration of the platform [col.3, Il.1-31; col.5, Il.37-52; modules required for operation].

- Starting execution of the first module of the plurality of firmware modules in response to the call [col.3, ll.1-31; col.5, ll.37-52].
- Determining which module of the set of modules is associated with the call [col.5, 11.45 52].
- Starting execution of the module associated with the call [col.3, ll.1-31; col.5, ll.37-52].
- 23. As to claim 14, Stevens discloses the method comprising returning to the calling agent [dispatch manager] when execution of the module associated with the call is complete [col.9, ll.16-36; dispatch manager sequentially executes list of tasks with each task returning to the dispatch manager before executing next task].
- As to claims 15-24, Stevens and Patel disclose each and every limitation of the claims as discussed above in reference to claims 1-14. In particular, Patel discloses a machine readable medium [firmware] containing instructions that when executed by a machine [fig.1; computer system], causes the machine to perform operations comprising the method of claim 1 [col.3, ll.40-48; col.4, ll.11-44].
- 25. In re claim 15, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 1.
- 26. In re claim 16, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 2.
- 27. In re claim 17, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 3.

28. In re claim 18, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 4.

- 29. In re claim 19, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 6.
- 30. In re claim 20, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 9.
- 31. In re claim 21, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 11.
- 32. In re claim 22, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 12.
- 33. In re claim 23, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 13.
  - 34. In re claim 24, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 14.
- 35. As to claims 25-33, Stevens and Patel disclose each and every limitation of the claims as discussed above in reference to claims 1-14. In particular, Stevens discloses a system [computer 10a], comprising:
  - A plurality of hardware components [col.5, ll.1-26, l.54 col.6, l.2].
  - A first memory device [system memory 13] to store a BIOS, the BIOS having a plurality of firmware modules [fig.2; col.5, ll.27-52].
  - A processor [CPU 11] coupled to the plurality of hardware components [via PCI bus 14] and the first memory device [col.5, ll.1-26].

36. In re claim 25, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 1.

- 37. In re claim 26, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 2.
- 38. In re claim 27, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 3.
- 39. In re claim 28, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 4.
- 40. In re claim 29, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 6.
- 41. In re claim 30, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 9.
- 42. In re claim 31, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 11.
- 43. In re claim 32, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 12.
- 44. In re claim 33, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claim 13.
- 45. As to claims 34–41, Stevens and Patel disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 1-14 and 25. In particular, Stevens discloses the BIOS comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/073,495 Page 10

Art Unit: 2116

• A plurality of firmware modules [BIOS modules, utilities, etc.], each module of the plurality of firmware modules to provide at least one service, at least two modules providing an inter-module interface to enable each of said at least two modules to call a service provided by another module [fig.2; col.2, l.48 – col.3, l.15; col.8, ll.20-28; it is very well known in the art that utilities are written with interfaces enabling other modules or utilities to access a service].

- A core [dispatch manager] operatively coupled to the plurality of firmware modules, wherein the core, upon operation, selects for execution a set of module from the plurality of firmware modules [col.5, 11.27-53].
- 46. In re claim 34, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1 and 25.
- 47. In re claim 35, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1, 3 and 25.
- 48. In re claim 36, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1, 4, 5, and 25.
- 49. In re claim 37, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1, 6 and 25.
- 50. In re claim 38, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1, 6 and 25.
- 51. In re claim 39, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1, 9 and 25.

Application/Control Number: 10/073,495 Page 11

Art Unit: 2116

52. In re claim 40, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1, 9 and 25.

53. In re claim 41, each and every limitation of the claim is disclosed as discussed in reference to claims 1, 11 and 25.

# Response to Arguments

54. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 25, and 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as necessitated by amendment.

#### Conclusion

55. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

Art Unit: 2116

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tse Chen March 2, 2005 LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100